UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,081	12/16/2005	Gregor John McLennan Anderson	PB60389A USW	6103
23347 GLAXOSMITH	7590 08/25/200 HKLINE	8	EXAM	INER
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R	
	RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,081	ANDERSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.6-54 and 57-61 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.6-54 and 57-61 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject.	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract any objection to the description of the correct and the co	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	oate

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/18/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended claim 1 to include an additional closure member which can be releasably attached to the container when the dispensing module is not attached. However Gibilisco is used on conventional container, which screw type neck allows for an ordinary screw cap or the dispensing module to be attached. for at least the foregoing reasons claim 1 and its dependent claims stand rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,6-31,47-49,52-54 and 57-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibilisco et al. UPSN 4,653,668.

Gibilisco discloses a dispensing cap comprising:

(Re claim 1) "a container ... opening" (12.0,12.6 figure 12). "a dispensing module ... covers the access opening" (12.1 figure 12). "internal volume" (12.3 figure 12). "an outlet opening" (12.5 figure 12). "a dispensing mechanism which operates to dispense a predetermined number" (12.7 figure 12).

Art Unit: 3651

(Re claim 4,6,57) Gibilsco discloses various caps and closures as claimed ("screw cap", col 5 lines 50-56).

(Re claim 7) "dispensing mechanism is adapted in use to dispense the unit products one at a time" (12.7 figure 12).

(Re claim 8) "predetermined number is one" (12.7 figure 12).

(Re claim 9) "dispensing mechanism is manually actuable by a user" (figure 2).

(Re claim 10) "non-dispensing mode ... dispensing mode" (figures 5,6).

(Re claim 11) "a biasing structure" (7,8 figure 5).

(Re claim 12) "shut state ... open state" (figures 5,6).

(Re claim 13) "one at a time" (figure 5,6).

(Re claim 14) "channel adapted to cause the unit products to be conveyed" (3,4 figure 5).

(Re claim 15) "in a common predetermined orientation of the unit product" (figure 5,6)..

(Re claim 16) "channel ... predetermined orientation" (3,4 figure 6).

(Re claim 17) "funnel-like ... channel" (3 figure 5,6).

(Re claim 18) "channel is a length sufficient that a queue of unit products" (figure 9).

(Re claim 19) "shut state ... open state ... blocked ... un-blocked" (figures 5,6).

(Re claim 20) "gate mechanism ... predetermined number ... front of queue" (figure 11).

Art Unit: 3651

(Re claim 21) "unblocks the channel in front ... blocks the channel behind" (figure 5,6).

(Re claim 22) "displaces the portion of the queue disposed behind" (figure 6).

(Re claim 23) "manually-engagable actuator for actuating the dispensing mechanism" (2 figure 5)..

(Re claim 24) "actuator protrudes from the dispensing module" (2 figure 5).

(Re claim 25) "actuator is displaceable into the dispensing module" (2 figure 6).

(Re claim 26) "actuated by a hand of a user ... dispensed into that hand" (figure 3,2).

(Re claim 27) "pushing the module into a user's palm is able to cause actuation" (figure 2).

(Re claim 28) "open state ... shut state ... actuator forms at least part of gate" (figure 29).

(Re claim 29) "including the unit products" (figure 4).

(Re claim 30) "unit products are pharmaceuticals" (figure 4).

(Re claim 31) "pharmaceutical products are oral dosage forms" (figure 4).

(Re claim 47) "products are gravity-fed from the container to the dispensing module" (figure 5).

(Re claim 48) "gravity-fed to the outlet opening" (figure 5,6).

(Re claim 49) "tamper-evidence structure is provided to show whether the dispensing module" (31.11 figure 31).

Application/Control Number: 10/561,081 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

(Re claim 58-61) a closure which closes the dispensing mechanism and disables the Actuation mechanism which defines the outlet opening. (31.9 figure 31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibilisco et al. USPN 4,653,668.

A label connected to a dispensing member and a container for use as a tamper evident seal is common in pharmaceutical goods and even in food product containers.

As such it would be obvious to include such on Gibilisco.

Claims 32-34, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibilisco in view of Scarrott et al. USPN 6,761,161.

Gibilisco discloses the dispenser as claimed in claim 1.

Gibilisco does not disclose a counter actuated by the dispensing of a unit.

Scarrott teaches an counter with graphical indicator which is actuated when the device is pressed down to dispense.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the dispenser of Gibilisco to include a counter as taught by Scarrott because it allows the user to track usage.

Claims 35,36,39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibilisco/Scarrott further in view of Kossoff et al. USPN 5,300,042.

Gibilisco/Scarrott teach a dispenser with means to count dispenses.

Gibilisco/Scarrott does not disclose an electronic display or timer or electronic switch.

Kossoff teaches an electronic display, a timer between uses, electronic switch, and alarm.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the dispenser of Gibilisco/Scarrott to include the digital counter, switch, alarm and timer as taught by Kossoff because improved means for tracking usage and reminding user to take their medicine.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER whose telephone number is (571)272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651

Page 7

**TRW**